

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patume and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 4450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/502,675	02/11/2000		Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2101 5514		
22204	7590	07/14/2003				
NIXON PE 8180 GREE	ABODY NSBORO	, LLP Drive	EXAMINER			
SUITE 800 MCLEAN, V				PERT, E	VAN T	
,	2210	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2829		
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/502,675	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Evan Pert	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appea	ars on the cover shee	et with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three modern and the period for the North Period Format Perio	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(s communication. nirty (30) days, a reply will num statutory period will num reply will, by statute, conths after the mailing de	(a). In no event, however, ma ithin the statutory minimum o apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status	/-\ & 04 /	h		
1) Responsive to communication	_			
2a) This action is FINAL .	,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conclusion closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims			matters, prosecution as to the m C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ierits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-44 and 81-85</u> is/ar	re pending in the	application		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1.3-44 and 81-85</u> are s		on and/or election re	equirement.	
Application Papers			1	
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a)∐ accepte	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an	y objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction	n filed on i	s: a)∭ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings a	re required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Exar	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a c	claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	.C ['] . § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	of:			
1. Certified copies of the price	ority documents I	nave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents I	nave been received	in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copaphication from the limits* See the attached detailed Office attached	nternational Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a		ge
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	c.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
a) The translation of the foreig		• •		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14		5) 🔲 Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 : .	
S. Patent and Trademark Office				<u> </u>

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Genus = Semiconductor devices having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the pixel circuit has S/D impurity regions not overlapped with its gate electrode [Currently, all of the claims are readable on the Genus]

Species I = A semiconductor device having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region overlapped with a gate electrode. [Currently, claims 1, 3-17 and 36-44, 81-82 are "readable on" Species I]

Species II = A semiconductor device having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region not overlapped with a gate electrode. [Currently, claims 9-35 and 82-84 are "readable on" Species II]

Species III = A semiconductor device having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region overlapped with a gate electrode and at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region that is not overlapped with a gate electrode. [Currently, claims 9-17 and 82 are "readable on" Species III].

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, NONE of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/502,675

Art Unit: 2829

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

ETP

July 8, 2003

EVAN PERT